An exploration of stereotype perceptions amongst support staff within a South African higher education institution
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Orientation: After the 1994 democratic elections, South African organisations had to replace discriminatory policies with new policies to integrate all people and to embrace diversity. As a consequence stereotypes may be more prevalent in diverse working environments.

Research purpose: The objective of this study was to explore the experience of stereotypes amongst the support staff within a higher education institution.

Motivation for this study: Changes within South African working environments, and specifically higher education institutions, resulted in more diverse management teams and a more culturally diverse workforce. With this in mind, the experience of stereotypes may become more prevalent within South African working environments. Many researchers have focused on stereotypes; however, studies on stereotypes within South Africa are limited, especially within higher education institutions.

Research approach, design and method: The research approach was qualitative and a case study design was employed. A combination of both quota and convenience sampling was used. The sample consisted of (N = 30) support staff within a higher education institution in South Africa. Semi-structured interviews were used to collect data.

Main findings: The results indicated that the participants do experience stereotypes within their workplace and also hold stereotypes of other people within their workplace. The most prevalent stereotypes mentioned by participants were age, gender, racial and occupational stereotypes. There is also an indication that stereotypes have cognitive, emotional and behavioural effects on the stereotyped.

Practical/managerial implications: Organisations should do away with stereotyping by embracing and managing diversity and dealing with stereotypes, specifically within higher education institutions. When managers are aware of stereotypes and the effects thereof in the organisation, they can make every effort to eradicate the stereotypes and address the impact thereof.

Contribution: In an environment where there is a diverse workforce, stereotyping is more likely to exist. This study will provide useful information about stereotypes within a higher education institution seeing that there are a limited number of studies on this topic within South Africa and within this specific occupation. The results of the study will enlighten the organisation to become more aware of stereotypes and the debilitating impact that they have on the individual and the organisation, thereby providing the organisation with the opportunity to address stereotypes and the impact thereof on employees and the organisation.

Introduction

Problem statement

Background

According to Mayekiso and Snodgrass (2008), the political, economic, social and technological landscape in post-apartheid South Africa is demanding and challenging. This is because South Africa is undergoing a process of transformation since the first democratic elections in 1994. Institutions and organisations are fundamentally changed as individuals come into contact with people who think, look and live differently. In addition to the many and varied structural changes occurring in South African institutions of higher education, academic leaders face increasing diversity issues. These issues relate to race, age, gender, marital status, sexual orientation and so forth because of a changing and diverse workforce (Mayekiso & Snodgrass, 2008). De Klerk and Radloff (2010) mention that the challenge facing universities is to respond quickly to the national imperative to facilitate transformation, both in terms of day-to-day institutional practices as well as perceptions, attitudes and mindsets. Although very elusive and invisible, these are the most powerful determinants of the prospects for success in any efforts to achieve real change.
According to Bowen, Kurzweil and Tobin (2005), higher education institutions have become the gatekeepers to full social, economic and political citizenship. Yet, they remain a long way from reaching the goal of becoming genuinely inclusive, diverse and democratic. Norris (1996) states that although most higher education institutions have changed their admission and recruitment policies, they still do not reflect the demographics of South African society. Niemann (2006) states that the education sector in South Africa has to be prepared to facilitate diversity to ensure the effective functioning of its institutions. The problem is that managers have to know how to plan for and handle such issues.

According to Gordon (2001), a diverse workforce also includes people with varying attitudes. People have an array of beliefs, formed in large part from their socio-economic and cultural backgrounds and other experiences and these varying beliefs likely result in different attitudes. Niemann (2006) mentions that management teams and employees have to change their attitudes regarding a range of issues, from racial and gender attitudes to attitudes about the disabled. This has to be done in order to comply with South African legislation, and to adjust and effectively manage the different contexts in which institutions or organisations are presently operating. One of the biggest obstacles in dealing with such a diverse workforce is breaking through people’s conceived attitudes about those who are different from themselves (McKinney, 1996). All of these differences can lead to stereotyping, which may result in workplace tension (Solovic, 2010). Buelens, Sinding, Waldstrom, Kreitner and Kinicki (2011) agree with this by stating that diversity contributes to stereotypes forming in the organisation, which causes conflict and in turn influences the organisational climate. Roberson and Kulik (2007) suggest that managers should converse with their employees about stereotypes; one manner in which this can be achieved is diversity training that specifically equips managers with the necessary skills and confidence to do so.

**Literature review**

Kassin, Fein and Markus (2011) define a stereotype as a belief or association that links a whole group of people with certain traits or characteristics. Stereotyping refers to a set of assumptions held about an individual or situation based on previous experience or societal norms (Sargeant, 2011). According to Rake and Lewis (2009), once a stereotype is applied to an individual, it is assumed that they will behave in a certain way, in line with a fixed general idea or set of associated images. Hinton (2000) states that people use stereotypes, often unconsciously in an unfamiliar situation, in first meetings, which could be one of the reasons why people categorise. Schneider (2004) states that stereotypes often seem to be integrally related to the group memberships and to conflict between groups. He further states that people seem to have stronger and more negative stereotypes about groups to which they do not belong (i.e. out-group) than to those to which they do (in-group).

Kreitner and Kinicki (2004) and Kassin et al. (2011) state that stereotypes are not always negative and inaccurate; some are more accurate than others. Although many stereotypes are based on completely illusory information or perceptions, some do stem from a kernel of truth, and still others may be fairly accurate (Kassin et al., 2011). Burgess (2003) also states that stereotypes are only a problem when they are inaccurate, especially when those inaccuracies are negative and hostile. Sanderson (2010) states that although stereotypes may sometimes be relatively harmless, they can also lead to prejudice, which means hostile or negative feelings about people based on their group membership. According to Brotherton (2007), stereotypes can be both causes and consequences of prejudice. Stereotypes underlie all prejudice, and pervade acts of violence, segregation and discrimination against minority groups (Moore, 2006). Dovidio, Glick and Rudman (2005) point out that the stereotype acts as both a justificatory device for categorical acceptance or rejection of a group, and as a screening or selective device to maintain simplicity in perception in thinking.

**Types of stereotypes**

There are different types of stereotypes found in literature (Falkenberg, 1990; Larkin, 2008; Plous, 2003). This study focused mainly on the four common types of stereotypes: age, gender, racial and occupational stereotypes. These types of stereotypes appear to exist in many working environments.

**Age stereotypes:** Sargeant (2011) states that age stereotyping is concerned with associating certain characteristics, or lack of them, with certain ages. Robinson, Gustafson and Popovich (2008) mention that age stereotypes homogenise the particular age group as being all the same rather than recognising any diversity within that age group. Age stereotypes reinforce age discrimination because of their negative orientation (Kreitner & Kinicki, 2004). This type of stereotype is not restricted to older people; it can apply to all ages and age groups (Sargeant, 2011). Long-standing age stereotypes depict older workers as less satisfied, not as involved with their work, less motivated and less productive than the young workers (Kreitner & Kinicki, 2004). Younger workers are perceived to be more dynamic, enthusiastic and more friendly with regard to information technology (Sargeant, 2011). According to Kreiter and Kinicki (2004), these stereotypes are based more on fiction than fact. Gordon (2001) argues that the myths about older workers’ inability to learn, slow speed of response and inflexibility are not true because older workers can demonstrate the same creativity, adaptability and manageability as younger workers.

**Gender stereotypes:** Kreitner and Kinicki (2004) define gender stereotypes as beliefs that differing traits and abilities make men and women well suited to different roles. Gender stereotypes tend to be prescriptive as well as descriptive (Fiske & Stevens, 1995). Madden (2011) states that gender stereotypes are pervasive and have an impact on all aspects of women’s and men’s behaviour. Prime, Jansen, Carter and Maznevski (2008) suggest that men’s stereotypes have the
potential to undermine women, even when the stereotypes may be seen as positive. Research by Eagly and Karau (2002) finds that women are perceived as modestly more effective in education, government and social services than in other kinds of organisations. Women are perceived to be more submissive, emotional, affectionate, talkative, patient and creative than men. Men, on the other hand, are perceived to be more assertive, aggressive, courageous, competitive and ambitious than women (Kreitner & Kinicki, 2004).

Racial stereotypes: According to Pauker, Ambady and Apfelbaum (2010) individuals are divided into social categories on the basis of their race. Stereotypes about racial groups have various negative consequences. According to Fiske and Taylor (1991) negative racial stereotypes may result in prejudice such that individuals are seen as unsuitable for a specific position because of their race. Powell and Butterfield (2002) add to this by stating that white men are often viewed as being better suited for high-status positions than individuals of other races. Not only do racial stereotypes influence our perceptions, but they also influence our feelings and behaviours towards races different from our own (Gordijn, Finchilescu, Brix, Wijnants & Koomen, 2006).

Occupational stereotypes: Occupational stereotyping has been defined as a preconceived attitude about a particular occupation, about people who are employed in that occupation, and about one’s own suitability for that occupation (Lipton, O’Connor, Terry & Bellamy, 1991). Several studies have demonstrated that stereotypes of people in different jobs exist (McLean & Kalin, 1994) and that particular traits are deemed more suitable for some jobs than for others (Arkkelin & O’Connor, 1992). According to Larkin (2008), many jobs are still perceived as better suited to women (e.g. nurse, preschool teacher) or men (e.g. construction worker, truck driver). Oswald (2003) state that occupational titles associated with men are perceived to be more prestigious than those associated primarily with women. Examples of occupational stereotypes include ‘lawyers are liars’ and ‘car salesmen are dishonest’ (Basow, 1992).

Consequences of stereotypes
According to Singletary, Ruggs, Hebl and Davies (2009), stereotypes about an individual’s group, which can be shaped as either positive or negative, elicit a variety of emotions. Negative stereotypes often cause negative responses which can manifest themselves in the stereotyped individual’s reactions, performance on a task, motivation and self-esteem (Singletary et al., 2009). Research has shown that the threat of being evaluated, judged by or treated in terms of a negative stereotype can cause individuals to perform worse in a domain in which negative stereotypes exist about a group of which they are a member. Spencer, Steele and Quinn (1999) and Steele and Aronson (1995) term this phenomenon as stereotype threat.

Stereotype threat exerts its effects through multiple processes (Schmader, Johns & Forbes, 2008). One of these is the triggering of physiological arousal, which may interfere with people’s ability to perform well at the task at hand (Ben-Zeev, Fein & Inzlicht, 2005; Blascovich, Spencer, Quinn & Steele, 2001; O’Brien & Crandall, 2003). Other negative physical health effects associated with constant exposure to stereotypes are coronary heart disease and hypertension (Clark, Anderson, Clark & Williams, 1999; Mendes, Major, McCoy & Blaschovich, 2008). Thomas, Bardwell, Ancoli-Isreal andDimsdale (2006) also report that other negative physiological effects are difficulty sleeping and constant fatigue.

Furthermore, Gill (2012) mentions that in the corporate world, there is a high price to be paid for stereotyping and that includes litigation, lost employees, poor employee morale, lost sales and customers, difficulty hiring top-level employees, difficulty retaining employees and diminished productivity or profits. Gill further mentions that people also suffer personal consequences when people judge other people based on bias, labels and stereotypes. People miss out on valuable experiences, connecting with others on a genuine level, gaining insights and forming sincere relationships.

Sanderson (2010) mentions that constant exposure to stereotypes is also associated with negative psychological effects. People who perceive discrimination due to racial stereotypes are more likely to report depression, sadness, helplessness, anxiety and substance abuse (Branscombe, Schmitt & Harvey, 1999; Gibbons, Gerrard, Cleveland, Wills & Brody, 2004; Schmitt & Branscombe, 2002). Stereotype threat can also cause negative thoughts, worry and feelings of dejection, and they can cause individuals to focus more on trying to avoid failure than on achieving success (Sanderson, 2010). Schmader and Johns (2003) also state that stereotype threat impairs the threatened individual’s working memory, which, of course, impairs task performance.

From the above it is quite clear that stereotypes are an important topic that warrants further research. Various stereotypes are prevalent within literature, and it is also evident that stereotypes have a definite impact on both the individual and the organisation. Seeing that South Africa is such a diverse country, it is possible that stereotypes are even more prevalent within the country. However, research in this regard is lacking in South Africa, and specifically within higher education institutions. Therefore, the objectives of this study is firstly to explore the different types of stereotypes that individuals working in a higher education experience themselves, secondly to determine how they experience these stereotypes and lastly to investigate the stereotypes these individuals hold of others within their working environment.

Research design
Research approach
The research approach that is followed in the study is qualitative research from a phenomenological approach. According to Salkind (2009) this approach seeks to understand a given research problem or topic from the perspective of
the local population it involves. It provides information about the ‘human’ side of an issue. The main goal of the phenomenological approach is to discover universal themes to explain the series of meanings of a phenomenon (Lester, 1999). Therefore the researchers of this study are focused on determining the description and experience of stereotypes as they experience it themselves.

**Research strategy**

The case study is used as a design. According to Salkind (2009) a case study is a method used to intensely study a person or an institution in a unique setting or situation. The study’s unit of analysis is individual employees working in a higher education institution. Therefore several case studies were studied within a higher education institution.

**Research method**

**Research setting**

This research took place at a higher education institution within South Africa. The participants were interviewed at their offices for the sake of convenience, so that they could feel comfortable and to ensure privacy. A ‘do not disturb’ sign was put outside their doors to avoid disruptions. The researcher introduced herself in a welcoming and friendly manner to ensure that the participants were at ease. The researcher then clearly explained the context of the interview and, with the permission of the participant, the interview was tape recorded.

**Entrée and establishing researcher roles**

Permission was requested from the authorities of the higher education institution to conduct the research and to conduct interviews with the participants working at the institution. The participants were contacted, and dates and times that best suited them were scheduled. The researcher explained the purpose of the study and the context of the interview. The researcher explained to the participants what was expected of them, the amount of time likely to be required for participation, the fact that their participation was voluntary, that they could withdraw at any time with no negative repercussions and how confidentiality would be ensured. All this information was provided in a language that the participant could understand. Permission was requested from the participants to tape record the interview sessions. The participants were requested to sign a consent form after being provided with the above-mentioned information.

**Sampling**

For this study, a combination of both quota and convenience sampling was used. In quota sampling, the purpose is to draw a sample that has the same proportions of characteristics as the population (Bless, Higson-Smith & Kagee, 2006). Quota sampling is often more convenient and economical and data can be collected at an arbitrary location (Bless et al., 2006). MacNealy (1999) states that convenience sampling includes participants who are readily available and agree to participate in a study.

The criteria for inclusion in this research was individuals who: (1) were support staff working in the academic environment, (2) were able to speak English, (3) differed according to age, gender and race and (4) were willing to participate in the research and prepared to have a tape-recorded interview with the researcher. The sample consisted of support staff (N = 30) within a higher education institution in South Africa.

Over half of the participants were female (53%). In terms of race, 53% of the sample was black, whilst 20% were white and another 20% were mixed race. Only 7% of the participants were Indian. With regard to language, 27% were Afrikaans speakers, whilst 20% were English speaking and a further 20% were Setswana speakers. The majority of the sample group (93%) had a postgraduate degree qualification; the rest had obtained further education and training.

**Data collection methods**

Semi-structured interviews were used to collect data. An interview schedule was developed before conducting the interviews. The interview started with an introduction and an explanation of the purpose of the research. All participants were asked the following three standard questions:

- ‘Do you associate yourself with any stereotypes within your workplace? (Do you think there are any stereotypes about yourself within your workplace?)
- ‘How do you experience these stereotypes?’
- ‘What stereotypes do you hold of other people in your workplace?’

A pilot study was conducted with three participants from the support and administration staff of the higher education institution in order to ensure that these questions were understood correctly by the participants. Each of the participants was requested to complete a short biographical questionnaire (gender, race, etc.) at the end of each interview.

**Recording of data**

During the interview, the conversation was recorded with a tape recorder then transcribed at a later stage. Participants gave consent to have tape-recorded interviews. The identities of participants remained anonymous. Transcribed interviews and tape recorders were safely and securely stored.

**Data analysis**

Data was coded and, thereafter, analysed by the use of content analysis. According to Kerlinger (2000) content analysis consists of the following steps:

- Step 1: Content analysis is applied to get a collective understanding of the context that must be analysed. All the answers of the participants were defined and categorised.
- Step 2: Sub-units of the analysis were determined, that is words and themes. The researcher examined all the respondents’ notes to attain a clear understanding.
Subsequently, the researcher examined the notes for the purpose of establishing themes. A continued analysis of the information was carried out until repeated themes were identified. The strong themes that were identified were explored further.

- Step 3: Data was freed from redundant information in order to establish the meaning of sub-units by linking it to the whole picture.

**Strategies employed to ensure quality data**

Guba’s model for qualitative research (Guba, 1981; Krefting, 1991; Lincoln & Guba, 1985; Terre Blanche & Durrheim, 1999) was used with the aim of ensuring the trustworthiness of the findings. These included credibility, transferability, dependability and conformability.

**Credibility:** According to Streubert and Carpenter (1999), credibility can be established when participants distinguish the reported research findings as their own experiences. This was done by ensuring that the views of the participants and the reconstruction of these views by the researcher coincided (Schurink, Fouche & De Vos, 2011).

**Transferability:** Transferability refers to the extent to which the results can be generalised to other contexts and settings (Durrheim & Wassenaar, 2002). Transferability was achieved by richly describing the data.

**Dependability:** Dependability entails that the research should show the same findings when it is repeated with the same or similar participants in the same context. In order to do this, the researcher documented the research process in a logical and well-structured manner (Schurink et al., 2011).

**Conformability:** Regarding conformability, the researcher remained neutral throughout the study and did not manipulate the research.

**Reporting**

Results were reported in table format. Themes and sub-themes were extracted from the interviews and direct quotations were used to confirm results. The researchers only made use of the most descriptive quotations in order to substantiate the results of this study.

**Findings**

The findings of this study were organised into three major categories and various themes and sub-themes. Below, categories and relevant themes and sub-themes will be discussed. The various tables show the themes and sub-themes. Direct quotations are also included to support the findings.

**Perceived stereotypes about oneself (Category 1)**

In this category, the participants were requested to give examples of stereotypes that they associate with themselves within their workplace. It was clear that the participants do identify themselves with the stereotypes that are in existence within their workplace. However, a third of the participants indicated that they did not associate themselves with any stereotypes within their workplace.

Table 1 indicates the broad themes on the perceived stereotypes about oneself, the sub-themes, characteristics as well as the examples of original responses that were analysed. The main perceived stereotypes about oneself included age, gender, occupational, qualifications and racial. Examples of the stereotypes that the participants associate with themselves are as follows:

- **Age:** Young employees believe that they are perceived to be incompetent by older employees. Older employees associate themselves with the stereotype that they are seen to be more mature and professional but on the other hand are seen to be inefficient by younger employees.

- **Gender:** Men perceive themselves to be valuable. Women associate themselves with the stereotype that they are inefficient, incompetent and not intelligent. Women see themselves as having to work hard to prove themselves, be more assertive to pull rank and they feel they are disregarded as leaders, undervalued and that they are taken advantage of.

- **Occupational:** Human Resources personnel perceive themselves to be undermined by other employees. Human Resources specialists associate themselves with the stereotype that they are conceited and knowledgeable. Negotiators believe they are perceived to be untrustworthy. Support staff have a perception that they are seen to be not intelligent. Subordinates perceive themselves to be disregarded by managers.

- **Racial:** Black people perceive themselves to be stereotyped as being disrespectful, not intelligent, not hardworking, not knowledgeable, incompetent and involved in criminal activities. Mixed race people associate themselves with the stereotypes that they are gangsters, drink a lot and are wild and incompetent. Indian people are of the opinion that they are viewed as being crooks and materialistic. White people believe that they are stereotyped to be conceited, knowledgeable and racist. Expatriates identify themselves with the stereotype that there are limited employment opportunities for them.

The most reported perceived stereotype about oneself is the racial stereotype whilst stereotypes about expatriates are the least reported. Most of the participants seemed to have negative perceptions about themselves across the different groupings within their workplace. The only group that seemed to have a positive perception about themselves were male participants.

**The experience of stereotypes (Category 2)**

The participants were requested to give an account of
TABLE 1: Perceived stereotypes about oneself.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Sub-theme</th>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Young</td>
<td>Incompetent</td>
<td>‘Older people think because we are young we are not competent in our work. They will always want to spell it out to you what to do and what not to do.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Old</td>
<td>Inefficient</td>
<td>‘People think if you are old you cannot perform and that is not true.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Femaless</td>
<td>Disregarded as leaders</td>
<td>‘There was a time when women were not taken seriously in managerial positions. Yes, as a woman in a managerial position you are never taken seriously.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Females</td>
<td>Inefficient</td>
<td>‘People have the perception that being a female I will not be able to run the … office effectively and efficiently.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Females</td>
<td>Taken advantage of; not intelligent; incompotent</td>
<td>‘You know there are other departments that can take advantage of you because you are a female, they take advantage of the female’s ability to do the job. It doesn’t work like that because at the end of the day you studied, you educated yourself to be in that position, in order to advise this people but because they think you are female they take you as stupid or you are just incompetent.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Females</td>
<td>Undervalued</td>
<td>‘You always get a sense that when for an example you are in a meeting and you raise points, you have to repeatedly put forward those points.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Work hard to prove self; more assertive to pull rank</td>
<td></td>
<td>‘I think being a black African female you always have to work twice as hard that any other person to prove your worth. As a female manager it is like I always have to pull rank on other people to get what I need.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational</td>
<td>Human Resources</td>
<td>Undermined</td>
<td>‘When you work in HR, you are not taken as a serious member of the organisation especially the academics.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Human Resources specialists</td>
<td>Conceited; knowledgeable</td>
<td>‘I am an HR specialist … I know the generalists call us academics and they say we look down on them as we think they are not learned like us. That is not true. We respect them and their work. They say we are arrogant and we don’t talk to them because we think they are not our peers or they are not like us.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negotiators</td>
<td>Untrustworthy</td>
<td>‘Stereotypes also do occur between interest groups where labour would say something like you cannot be trusted if you are at the bargaining forum because you represent management and management can’t be trusted.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Support staff</td>
<td>Not intelligent</td>
<td>‘I think academics could stereotype me as a professional support staff person, as a person not with the intellectual capacity that they have.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Managers</td>
<td>Disregard subordinates</td>
<td>‘Management positions and senior management definitely stereotypes people undermine them with less importance, less access to resource and less decision making.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Racial</td>
<td>Black people</td>
<td>Disrespectful</td>
<td>‘If you are an African female you have to jump when somebody says jump. You cannot say no even though the policy does not allow you to say yes to what somebody is asking. … Males would tell you, you do not have respect because I do not give in to their demands.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incompetent</td>
<td>‘Black people have got limitations. They are only there because of employment equity and sometimes they doubt your abilities and competency.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not hardworking; not knowledgeable; criminals</td>
<td>‘People from other races tend to think of blacks as being lazy, criminals, less educated and stupid. When you are educated then your peers might see you as being arrogant and they say you think you know it all.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mixed race people</td>
<td>Drink a lot</td>
<td>‘You find this in the manner that people interact with you where they try to explain things and certain concepts as if you are too dumb to understand.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gangsters</td>
<td>‘I mean we have stereotypes of all coloured people drink.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incompetent</td>
<td>‘Yes because some people do think that coloured people are all gangsters and drinking a lot.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>‘All coloured girls are wild.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Indian people</td>
<td>Crooks; materialistic</td>
<td>‘I know that people have this idea that indians are crooks and they like money so they can sell you anything.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>White people</td>
<td>Conceited</td>
<td>‘I think other people usually see, and I mean other races, they think that white people think more of themselves, think they are a step above the others.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Knowledgeable; racist</td>
<td>‘People have these opinions that we think we are better than other races because we are white and we think we are more educated and we look down on other races. People still think we are still racists.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Expatriates</td>
<td>Limited employment opportunities</td>
<td>‘I am a foreigner, so at times when it comes to employment it is also considered that you are a foreigner, so they take that into consideration.’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Do not experience stereotypes - - ‘I don’t associate myself with the stereotypes.’

(N = 30)

The participants reported that when they are confronted with stereotypes they respond by either, avoiding the person who holds the stereotype, becoming an explanation of different effects as follows: emotional effect includes the feelings or emotions one has about an object or situation. Cognitive effect is the belief or ideas one has about an object or situation. Behavioural effect is how one intends to act or behave towards someone or something. The broad themes that were identified include:

**Behavioural effect:** The participants reported that when they are confronted with stereotypes they respond by either, avoiding the person who holds the stereotype, becoming
defensive, having to prove themselves or becoming suspicious. **Cognitive effect:** Many participants reported that they experience stereotypes to be challenging, discriminating, derogatory, limiting, negative, cynicism, doubting and excluding.

**Emotional effect:** The participants reported that they feel frustrated, agitated, irritated, sad and embarrassed when confronted with stereotypes.

The theme that included the most sub-themes was cognitive effect with eight sub-themes identified as: challenging, discriminating, derogatory, limiting, negative, cynicism, doubting and excluded.

The theme with the least number of sub-themes was behavioural effect. Most of the different effects mentioned by the participants were negative.

**Perceived stereotypes about others (Category 3)**

In this category, the participants were requested to give an account of stereotypes that they held of other people. Whilst it was evident that some participants did hold stereotypes of other people, a number of participants reported that they do not stereotype as they believe that they are open-minded, exposed to diverse groups and that they could form their own impressions.

Table 3 shows the broad themes on the perceived stereotypes about others, the sub-themes, the characteristics as well as examples of original responses that were analysed. The main perceived stereotypes about others included:

**Age:** Older employees perceive young employees to be conceited and technologically advanced. On the other hand, the young employees perceive the older employees to be all-knowing, begrudging and technologically challenged.

**Culture:** Zulu men are perceived to be polygamous whereas Sotho men are seen to be more assertive.

**Gender:** Men are stereotyped as being valuable. Black men are stereotyped to be patronising, ambitious and resistant to change.

**Occupational:** Human Resources employees are perceived to be superior. Academics are stereotyped to be conceited, troublesome, undermining, critical, rude and mean. Negotiators are seen to be rude. Managers are stereotyped to be narrow-minded, intimidating, incompetent and controlling.

**Racial:** White people are seen to be conceited, not knowledgeable about other races; however, they are also viewed as not being racist.

The theme that had the most number of sub-themes was occupational stereotypes whereas the culture theme had the least number of sub-themes. Most participants held negative stereotypes about different groupings within their

---

### Table 2: Experience of stereotypes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Sub-theme</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Behavioural effect</td>
<td>Avoiding</td>
<td>I think because I have dealt with so many people one learned how to deal with people in general. If somebody is saying something bad it doesn’t help to confront the person, you just avoid that person.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Defensive</td>
<td>“You are always on guard. You are just waiting for somebody to say something. You are always on guard. Every time they want to talk to you about something you are always on a defensive mode already trying to think ahead.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prove yourself</td>
<td>“Irritates you that people can still think like this after so many years of transformation. You actually are forced to work twice as hard to prove to them that you are competent and capable of doing the job.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Suspicious</td>
<td>“You experience them when you try to break the sense of working in silos trying to draw from each other’s expertise and knowledge, HR-related matters. You sort of sense it then to say “why does she want to work with us”, “why is she interested”, so there is some level of suspiciousness, people are sort of guarded of their territories, they don’t want people to come into their space.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive effect</td>
<td>Challenging</td>
<td>“It can be so very frustrating because from a career perspective where you think “I am capable of doing this and I can do it very well” and if a lot of obstacles and hurdles are placed before you it means there are a lot of barriers to access, limiting access to those opportunities and it can be frustrating.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cynicism</td>
<td>“They impact a lot because eventually you feel tied in the corner, you give information and they go back to verify with somebody superior to you. It impacts negatively on one’s career because you feel that you are not treated fairly, you are not respected and then why should you still be in this environment.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Discriminating; derogatory</td>
<td>“Discriminatory sometimes derogatory. It’s like you forever have to prove yourself that you are indeed capable of doing a job. So it’s like you are constantly trying to prove a point and it shouldn’t be like that I think.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Doubt</td>
<td>“But sometimes if you doubt yourself your self-esteem might actually be affected and you might end up having an inferiority complex.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exclusion</td>
<td>“You feel like an outcast. This makes you feel like you are not part of the group. You feel isolated, lonely and excluded.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Limiting</td>
<td>“Frustration and you feel limited. It affects your enthusiasm, your energy, your sense of innovation and working together and learning from each other, so it’s quite limiting.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>“We experience them in a negative way because people would generalise and say bad things about you because they did not get the response that they expected from you.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional effect</td>
<td>Frustrating; agitating</td>
<td>“It impairs on the effectiveness of team work and team cohesiveness within the HR environment. You feel more frustrated and agitated.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Irritated</td>
<td>“It irritates you that people can still think like this after so many years of transformation.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sad</td>
<td>“One of the stereotypes that we experience from HR side ... is that when you work in HR, you are not taken as a serious member of the organisation especially the academics ... it irritates me. I feel so sad that they are so narrow-minded.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No effect</td>
<td>Not bothered</td>
<td>“I think if people still have an opinion of what people say I think they need to wake up. I just laugh it off because it’s not true.”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[N = 30\]
workplace.

Discussion

The aim of this study was to explore the experiences of stereotyping on individuals working within a higher education institution.

The first research objective was to determine the stereotypes that the participants associate with themselves in their workplace. The research findings give an indication that the participants associate themselves with the following stereotypes: age, gender, occupational and racial stereotypes. Women see themselves as having to overcompensate to prove themselves, be more assertive to pull rank and they feel they are disregarded as leaders, undervalued and taken advantage of. They also associate themselves with the stereotype that they are inefficient, incompetent and not intelligent. Literature supports this finding as men are often perceived to be better managers or leaders than women (Heilman, Wallen, Fuchs & Tamkins, 2004; Still, 2006). Coward (2010) states that people are conditioned to expect women to be less competent; therefore, women have to prove to be overly competent to be taken seriously. Amble (2005) mentions that women leaders will always be undermined and misjudged, regardless of their talents or aptitudes. The results of this study indicate that the respondents hold more negative stereotypes about themselves. Schneider (2004) states that people seem to have stronger and more negative stereotypes about groups to which they do not belong than about those to which they do, which contradicts the findings of this study.

With regard to the age stereotype, the research findings give an indication that young people associate themselves with the perception that they are incompetent. Older people associate themselves with the stereotype that they are mature and professional but, on the other hand, inefficient. Rupp, Vodanovich and Crede (2006) state that managers with significant age-based bias cited older employees for poor performance more often and more severely than they cited younger employees. However, according to McGregor (2002)

---

**TABLE 3: Perceived stereotypes about others.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Sub-theme</th>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Young</td>
<td>Conceived</td>
<td>‘Younger employees think they are wiser than older employees and they think they can be more productive than the older employees.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Technologically advanced</td>
<td>‘Youngsters are so advanced technologically. They have all this gadgets and everything is so easy for them.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Old</td>
<td>All knowing; begrudging</td>
<td>‘The rest of my colleagues are young, few are older and they think they know it all. Because they have been here for longer, they think they know it all they don’t give the younger ones chance to grow.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Technologically challenged</td>
<td>‘I have an idea that older people cannot handle computers. You cannot send them electronic stuff.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culture</td>
<td>Sotho men</td>
<td>Assertive</td>
<td>‘Gender stereotypes like in our South Sotho culture men are esteemed highly. A man is considered to have a final word. You don’t challenge men just because they are white.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zulu men</td>
<td>Polygamous</td>
<td>‘I wouldn’t say they are my stereotypes but they are the jokes that we do. All Zulu men have many wives.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Males</td>
<td>Valuable</td>
<td>‘You always get a sense that when for an example you are in a meeting and you raise points, you have to repeatedly put forward those points, but when a male person puts those point forward its easily accepted.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Black males</td>
<td>Patronising; ambitious;</td>
<td>‘Black men are very patronising, power-hungry and are resistant to change. Times have changed. They need to start embracing us women as human beings with our very own capabilities.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational</td>
<td>Human Resources</td>
<td>Superior</td>
<td>‘I still have this thing of in-group and out-group where I see my department or directorate as better than other departments within HR, perhaps the department HR is better than other department within the university.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academics</td>
<td></td>
<td>Conceived; troublesome;</td>
<td>‘The academics think that they know better than you because you are a support staff. Although you are in HR, your HR background you have more experience in terms of what’s wrong and what’s right. So I think that stereotyping of people is not right because people are not like that. The academics do not treat us as experts on our field of work. They think they know everything. They will always criticise or question HR processes. It is so irritating. It’s like we always have to defend our work.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>undermining; critical</td>
<td>‘Older academics are rude and mean to the support staff members.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negotiators</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rude; mean</td>
<td>‘Older academics are rude and mean to the support staff members.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managers</td>
<td></td>
<td>Controlling</td>
<td>‘All managers are control freaks.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incompetent</td>
<td>‘Supervisors don’t really know anything. People in the ground are the ones who know everything.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Narrow-minded; intimidating</td>
<td>‘Well, maybe one stereotype that I have is that you don’t challenge managers. I think our managers are not open as much as they claim, superficially they are open to new ideas and all that, in reality actually that is not the case. You tend to get a different reaction when you do that.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Racial</td>
<td>White people</td>
<td>Conceived</td>
<td>‘Now some of the stereotypes that we hold as Africans are that whites always think they are better than us in whatever they do, and that is just an illusion and not the truth.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not knowledgeable about</td>
<td>‘White people lack knowledge of black people, that’s a racial stereotype also.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>other races</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not racist</td>
<td>‘I sometimes just think that these whites are racist whereas they are not.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not stereotype</td>
<td></td>
<td>Exposed to diverse groups</td>
<td>‘Previously I used to hold stereotypes but before moving to Gauteng province because I was confused, I was teaching at a predominantly coloured school. I was not exposed to other races necessarily, but since moving to Gauteng province that definitely changed all the perceptions and stereotype thoughts that I had because then for the first time I got to interact with other races as well, so many of those stereotypes for me completely disappeared and you actually learned that it’s just people like you are.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Forms own impression</td>
<td>‘I don’t believe in stereotypes. I don’t put people into those boxes. I allow myself to form my own impressions.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Open-minded</td>
<td>‘I don’t hold any stereotypes. I’m open-minded.’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(N = 30)
young workers also face prejudice based upon stereotypes. Prenda and Stahl (2001) mention that even though younger employees may be faster than older employees at certain computer-related tasks, they are not more accurate.

The results of this study indicate that, in terms of the occupational stereotypes, Human Resources personnel perceive themselves to be undermined. Human Resources specialists see themselves as conceited and knowledgeable. Support staff is believed to be not intelligent and managers are perceived to disregard subordinates. According to Fein and Spencer (1997) individuals negatively stereotype others in order to feel better about themselves, which may also explain the results of this study.

In terms of the racial stereotypes, black people believe themselves to be perceived as disrespectful, unreliable, not intelligent, not hardworking, not knowledgeable, criminals and incompetent. People of mixed race think they are viewed as being gangsters, drinking a lot and being wild and incompetent. Recent literature has also found that these stereotypes do exist about mixed race individuals (Adhikari, 2006; Talbot & Durrheim, 2012). Indians associate themselves with the perception that they are crooks and materialistic. According to Blaine (2007), indians are associated with stereotypes that they are timid, sly, conforming and untrustworthy. White people feel they are viewed as being conceited, knowledgeable and racist. According to Schneider (2004), white people described themselves as competitive, lazy, intelligent, independent and arrogant, which confirms the study findings. It is evident that most of the stereotypes mentioned were negative. Blaine (2007) confirms this by stating that stereotypes of many social groups are predominantly composed of negatively valued qualities.

The second research objective was to determine how the participants experience stereotypes that they are confronted with. Literature indicates that when confronted with stereotypes, people react differently: stereotypes have different effects on different people. It has been shown that stereotyping with negative images often leads to negative outcomes such as performance decrement (Bargh, Chen & Burrows 1996; Cadinu, Maass, Rosabianca & Kiesner, 2005; Shih, Pittinsky & Ambady 1999; Steele 1997). The results of the study also indicate that the experiences of stereotypes were mostly negative. Stereotypes can have cognitive, emotional and behavioural effects on the stereotyped group (Gordon, 2001). Such effects were also found in this study. A behavioural effect is a behaviour that occurs as a result of a person’s feeling about a focal person, object or situation (Kreitner & Kinicki, 2004). The results of this study indicate that most of the participants responded by either avoiding the person who holds the stereotype, becoming defensive, having to prove themselves and becoming suspicious when confronted with stereotypes. A cognitive effect occurs when individuals have beliefs about a certain person, object or situation that they accept as true based on their values and experiences (Gordon, 2001). Many participants reported that they experienced stereotypes to be challenging, discriminating, derogatory, limiting, negative and resulting in cynicism, doubt and exclusion. An emotional effect refers to the feelings that people have that result from their beliefs about a person, object or situation (Kreitner & Kinicki, 2004). The participants reported that they feel frustrated, agitated, irritated, sad and embarrassed when confronted with stereotypes.

The third research objective was to determine what stereotypes the participants hold of other people within their workplace. It is clearly indicated by the data collected that the participants do hold negative stereotypes of other people within their workplace. According to Onwunta and August (2007), most of the stereotypes that one can think of are predominantly negative. The authors further mentioned that it was true that some stereotyping may be positive, but that most of the popular stereotypes are negative and one hardly hears any positive stereotyping, especially about women. However, according to Carpenter and Hewstone (1996), contact between members of different groups enables discovery of mutual similarities and thereby positively changes stereotypical attitudes towards each other. The common stereotypes that the participants in this study held were stereotypes in terms of age, culture, gender, occupation, qualifications and race.

The results of the present study indicate that older people perceive younger people to be conceited and technologically advanced, and young people perceive the older people to be all-knowing, begrudging and technologically challenged. Regarding the age stereotype, older workers view older people more favourably than they do younger people (Celejewski & Dion, 1998; Spears, Doosje & Ellemers, 1997). According to Hedge, Borman and Lammlkein (2006) age stereotypes and age norms can have a significant influence on expectations of performance, performance itself and how that performance is evaluated. Results of this study also indicate that men are perceived to be valuable. Blaine (2007) agrees with this by stating that men are perceived as better, more competent and more valuable people than women (Blaine, 2007). In the present study, black men are perceived to be patronising, ambitious and resistant. Schneider (2004) stated that black people described white people to be prejudiced, corrupt, mean and selfish, which is somewhat in agreement with the results of this study, where white people are seen to be conceited and not knowledgeable about other races. In terms of the occupational stereotypes, Mandy, Milton and Mandy (2004) stated that stereotypical perceptions exist within certain professional groups. Each professional group views itself more positively than it views the other professions (Streed & Stoecker, 1991); however, this was not the case in the present study. Mandy et al. (2004) further state that occupational stereotypes might emerge due to the increased lack of understanding of each other’s professional role. In this study, Human Resources personnel were seen to be superior. Academics were perceived to be conceited, troublesome, undermining, critical, rude and mean. Managers were seen to be narrow-minded, intimidating,
incompetent and controlling. People with low qualifications were seen to be inexperienced and incompetent.

**Practical implications**

Collins, Walker and Hamda (2011) state that organisations can do the following to become more aware of stereotypes that exist within the workplace:

- Challenge stereotypic comments and assumptions when they hear them.
- Suggest changes in systems and processes to make them more equitable and accessible.
- Spend time (e.g. meals, breaks) with people who are different from them in a variety of ways.
- Let people know that ethnic, gender, racial, religious and other jokes of that ilk are off limits.
- Suggest methods to hold all staff accountable for fair treatment and respectful behaviour.
- Speak up and educate when you hear a derogatory comment, slur or joke.
- Suggest resolution strategies when staff has diversity-related conflicts.
- Challenge your own assumptions and stereotypic thoughts.
- Give suggestions about ways to make the department more inclusive.
- Check out the facts before passing on information.

For future research it is recommended that additional research designs such as multiple case studies, grounded theory or triangulation be considered to explore stereotypes. A bigger sample should also be used which includes support staff members from academic institutions from other provinces. It is also recommended that future research focus on including other occupational groups and not only focuses on support staff as they do not perform similar jobs.

**Limitations of the study**

There are some limitations to this study. The method used in the study, the case study design, is not generalisable because it focuses on only a few individuals. The sample size was small, consisting of 30 support staff members only. This could have been extended to the academic staff as well. Therefore, the results cannot be generalised for the whole institution. Also, data was collected within only one higher education institution in Gauteng and may, therefore, not be representative of all support staff within all higher education institutions in South Africa.

**Conclusion**

The findings of this study clearly indicate that stereotypes are indeed prevalent in a South African higher education institution. Findings show that participants associate themselves with stereotypes and also hold stereotypes of others. The types of stereotypes that are most prevalent are age, gender, occupational and racial stereotypes. Most of the stereotypes that were mentioned were negative in nature. It is also clear that stereotypes do have different effects on the persons being stereotyped, whether the effect is behavioural, cognitive or emotional. To conclude, stereotypes are indeed an important topic to study, considering the different types that are experienced within a diverse South Africa, and the potential negative effects they have on individuals and organisations.
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